

PUBLIC SCHOOL CAPITAL OUTLAY COUNCIL

June 12, 2023 – 9:00 AM

Videoconference

I. Call to Order - Joe Guillen, Chair

A. Approval of Agenda*

* Denotes potential action by the PSCOC

SPECIAL PUBLIC SCHOOL CAPITAL OUTLAY COUNCIL (PSCOC)

Agenda

June 12, 2023 – 9:00 am

Videoconference

(*Denotes potential action by the PSCOC)

I. Call to Order – Joe Guillen, Chair

A. Approval of Agenda*

II. Public Comment

III. Out-of-Cycle Awards Cycle

A. P22-005 Pinon ES (Los Alamos) – Additional Funding Request*

IV. Next PSCOC Meeting - (Proposed for July 5, 2023 - tentative)

V. Adjourn

**PUBLIC SCHOOL CAPITAL OUTLAY COUNCIL
SUBCOMMITTEE ASSIGNMENTS**

PSCOC

Joe Guillen, Chair
Raúl Burciaga, Vice-Chair

Awards Subcommittee

Gwen Perea Warniment, Chair
Antonio Ortiz, PED
Clay Bailey, CID
Charles Sallee, LFC

Administration, Maintenance & Standards Subcommittee

Ashley Leach, Chair
Mariana Padilla, Governor's Office
Raúl Burciaga, LCS
Stewart Ingham, PEC

Joe Guillen will serve on subcommittees in the absence of any member or designee.

II. Public Comment

III. Out-of-Cycle Awards

A. P22-005 Pinon ES (Los Alamos) – Additional Funding Request*

* Denotes potential action by the PSCOC

I. P22-005 Pinon ES (Los Alamos) - Additional Funding Request

II. Presenter(s): Martica Casias, Executive Director
Ryan Parks, Deputy Director

III. Potential Motion:

Council approval to amend the current Standards-based award to Los Alamos Public Schools (LAPS) for Pinon ES to include an advance of the local match totaling \$14,448,728, for an increase in the state match of \$14,448,728 for a revised state match of \$24,270,621, and a decrease in the local match of \$14,448,728 for a revised local match of \$24,838,846. For a replacement facility for 378 students, grades Pre-K-6, up to the maximum allowable gross square footage of 50,411 GSF.

IV. Executive Summary:

District Request:

LAPS is requesting that the PSCOC amend the current Standards-based award language to include a partial waiver of construction phase local match and funding for Pinon ES for the full replacement of the existing facility.

Staff Recommendation:

Staff recommends amending the current Standards-based award language to allow for the construction of the replacement facility.

Key Points:

- Construction Manager At Risk (CMAR) - participates in the design to help identify cost savings and best building practices. Additionally, the CMAR acts as a “consultant” to the Owner during the development and design phase, then at the construction phase they act as the general contractor.
- Guaranteed Maximum Price (GMP) - CMAR commits to delivering the project at a set price without any cost increases. Any cost savings are returned to the district.
- There were 5 respondents to Request for Proposal for Pinon ES
 - 3 finalists were selected
 - Selected finalist markup was 3.25%; the others were 3.5% and 4%.
- As of July 2022, the districts mill levy is 12 (waiver eligibility requires 10 mills)

	State	Local	Total Allowable Project Costs	Above Allowable Costs
Current Project Funding	\$ 9,821,893	\$ 39,287,574	\$ 49,109,467	N/A
Waiver of the Local Match	\$ 14,448,728	\$ (14,448,728)	\$ -	N/A
Total Project Cost	\$ 24,270,621	\$ 24,838,846	\$ 49,109,467	\$ 9,085,648

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

P22-005 Pinon ES (Los Alamos) - Additional Funding Request

Questions from Awards Subcommittee:

- **Ways to lower the current cost of Los Alamos Pinon and Chamisa: (the list below are cost reductions that occurred during design)**
 - Eliminated and/or reduced brick veneer, replaced by stucco.
 - Used alternate manufacturers for various finish products.
 - Scaled back classroom cabinets.
 - Eliminated overflow roof drains. Replaced with scuppers.
 - Lowering and stepping building elevations to reduce imported fill dirt.
 - Reducing cost by approximately \$1.5M.
 - The current design doesn't afford further significant cost savings without degrading the buildings.
 - Some site elements could be scaled back if code allowed.
- **List the savings if both Pinon and Chamisa construction are started at the same time and/or staggered?**
 - Unfortunately, “economies of scale” are not currently available due to equipment and labor demand outweighing supply capacities.
 - Discounts for buying in bulk are no longer offered by suppliers.
 - The shortage of skilled labor is actually causing “diseconomies of scale”.
 - To provide enough manpower to build two schools at once in White Rock, companies would have to employ less experienced, less efficient workers which increases their production costs.
- **List and/or clearly identify the benefits of sharing labor costs:**
 - By staggering the two construction schedules, a 5-man plumbing crew could flow from piping “rough-in” at Pinon to “rough-in” at Chamisa. This staggered approach is what the bids are based on, so no additional savings are available.
- **How is the construction crew housed? Trailers, daily travel, hotels?**
 - Various approaches will be used to house and/or transport construction crews including using local hotels, renting housing in Espanola, using vans to shuttle crews to and from Albuquerque, or providing per-diem to use at their discretion for lodging or commuting.
- **Is there per-diem in the CMAR contract for staff?**
 - Jaynes' on-site supervisors have the option of paid lodging at the local hotel or per-diem to commute.

History:

In July 2021, Pinon ES's Design Phase funding totaled \$2,507,057, with a state match of \$501,411(20%) and a local match of \$2,005,646 (80%).

July 12, 2021: *Design phase funding for the replacement of the existing facility to the maximum gross square footage pursuant to the Adequacy Planning Guide, for a design enrollment of 378 students, grades PreK-6. Upon completion, the district may return to the PSCOC for the next out-of-cycle*

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

P22-005 Pinon ES (Los Alamos) - Additional Funding Request

funding phase to include approval of the design enrollment, maximum gross square footage pursuant to the Adequacy Planning Guide, and an update to the total estimated project cost. PSCOC may make an award to fund a functional phase of a project without committing to funding future phases of proposed projects. The Council shall reevaluate each phase of a project and the capacity of the Public School Capital Outlay Fund before making an award for a subsequent phase of a project.

November 21, 2022: *Subcommittee recommendation for Council approval to amend the current Standards-based award to Los Alamos Public Schools for Pinon ES to include Construction Phase funding for a replacement facility for 378 students, grades Pre-K-6, up to the maximum allowable gross square footage of 50,411 GSF, with an increase in the state match of \$7,485,546 (20%) and a corresponding local match of \$29,942,184 (80%).*

May 17, 2023: Awards subcommittee had no recommendation.

Subcommittee recommendation for Council approval to amend the current Standards-based award to Los Alamos Public Schools (LAPS) for Pinon ES to include:

- **Part 1:** *A partial waiver of the local match, totaling \$23,297,268. The revised Construction phase funding shall be a state match of \$32,700,846 and a local match of \$13,901,563.*
- **Part 2:** *Additional Construction Phase funding totaling \$38,615,452 with an increase to the state match of \$24,713,889 (64%) and an increase in the local match of \$13,901,563 (36%). For a replacement facility for 378 students, grades Pre-K-6, up to the maximum allowable gross square footage of 50,411 GSF.*

May 24, 2023: Motion in PSCOC eBook.

No Subcommittee Recommendation.

May 24, 2023: Handout at PSCOC Meeting.

Council approval to amend the current Standards-based award for Additional Construction phase funding to Los Alamos Public Schools (LAPS) for Pinon ES totaling \$9,174,680, with a state match of \$1,834,936 (20%) and a local match of \$7,339,744 (80%).

Exhibit(s):

- A – Los Alamos Public Schools Letter, date April 23, 2023
- B – Los Alamos FY23 Q3 Cash Balance Report
- C – Previous questions from Awards/PSCOC members
- D – Variances between Pinon and Chamisa
- E – Statement of Financial Position




May 31, 2023

Dear PSCOC Chair Joe Guillen and Members:

Los Alamos respectfully requests the Council to reconsider Los Alamos request for a waiver for Pinon construction so that the project can move forward. At this time, we do not have enough money to open a purchase order to begin construction and would like the council to reconsider a waiver for some of the costs for Pinon. We would also appreciate the council's reconsideration for approving construction for Chamisa Elementary School. We have completed 100% construction documents and need to make a decision about the next steps.

Los Alamos would appreciate the opportunity to further clarify our financial position and discuss options for saving these two critical projects.

Sincerely,


Jennifer Guy
Superintendent

School Name: LOS ALAMOS
 PED No.: 041-000
 Previous Year End: 06/30/2022

PED Cash Report for 2022-2023 Fiscal Year

Month/Quarter: M9/Q3
 Report end date: 03/31/2023
 Naming Convention: LOS ALAMOS PUBLIC SCHOOLS_FY23_CASH REPORT_041

Refer to "Instructions for PED Cash Report" for details on how to properly complete this form.			OPERATIONAL 11000	TEACHERAGE 12000	TRANSPORTATION 13000	INST. MATERIALS 14000	IMPACT AID OPERATIONAL 15100	LOCAL REVENUE OPERATIONAL 15200	FOOD SERVICES 21000	ATHLETICS 22000	NON-INSTRUCT. 23000
Line 1	Total Cash Balance 06/30/2022	+OR-	4,003,644.00	0.00	3,516.00	947.00	0.00	0.00	128,330.00	20,341.00	995,232.00
Line 2	Current Year Revenue to Date <i>(Per OBMS Actuals Revenue Report)</i>	+	35,509,168.08	0.00	562,343.70	0.00	796,839.00	206,161.03	110.00	102,821.59	481,516.98
Line 3	Prior Year Warrants Voided	+	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Line 4	Total Resources to Date for Current Year 03/31/2023	=	39,512,812.08	0.00	565,859.70	947.00	796,839.00	206,161.03	128,440.00	123,162.59	1,476,748.98
Line 5	Current Year Expenditures to Date <i>(Per OMBS Actuals Expenditure Report)</i>	-	(33,465,899.07)	0.00	(648,334.39)	0.00	(276,107.17)	(42,012.09)	(226,454.73)	(65,037.77)	(480,276.18)
Line 6	Permanent Cash Transfers/Reversions <i>*Provide Explanation on Last Page</i>	+OR-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	80,000.00
Line 7	Total Cash	=	6,046,913.01	0.00	(82,474.69)	947.00	520,731.83	164,148.94	(98,014.73)	58,124.82	1,076,472.80
Other Reconciling Items											
Line 8	Payroll Liabilities <i>**Provide Explanation on Last Page</i>	+	1,056,278.52	0.00	36,593.10	0.00	12,668.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	184.60
Line 9	Adjustments <i>***Provide Explanation on Last Page</i>	+OR-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Line 10	Total Reconciled Cash Balance 03/31/2023	=	7,103,191.53	0.00	(45,881.59)	947.00	533,400.16	164,148.94	(98,014.73)	58,124.82	1,076,657.40
Line 11	Total Outstanding Loans <i>****Provide Explanation on Last Page</i>	+OR-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Line 12	Total Ending Cash 03/31/2023	=	7,103,191.53	0.00	(45,881.59)	947.00	533,400.16	164,148.94	(98,014.73)	58,124.82	1,076,657.40

481,516.98
 80,000.00
 \$561,516.98

			FEDERAL		LOCAL GRANTS 26000	STATE		LOCAL OR STATE 29000	BOND BUILDING 31100	TEACHERAGE BOND BUILDING 31120	
			FLOWTHROUGH 24000	DIRECT 25000		FLOWTHROUGH 27000	DIRECT 28000				
Line 1	Total Cash Balance 06/30/2022	+OR-	(760,919.00)	260,595.00	21,219.00	(648,943.00)	0.00	12,595.00	11,982,026.00	0.00	
Line 2	Current Year Revenue to Date <i>(Per OBMS Actuals Revenue Report)</i>	+		1,103,864.34	5,276.84	152,367.11	660,612.84	13,934.77	2,500.00	10,147,780.82	0.00
Line 3	Prior Year Warrants Voided	+		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Line 4	Total Resources to Date for Current Year 03/31/2023	=		342,945.34	265,871.84	173,586.11	11,669.84	13,934.77	15,095.00	22,129,806.82	0.00
Line 5	Current Year Expenditures to Date <i>(Per OMBS Actuals Expenditure Report)</i>	-		(1,122,317.41)	(37,818.59)	(183,723.87)	(951,060.41)	(52,648.60)	(5,963.89)	(1,421,158.16)	0.00
Line 6	Permanent Cash Transfers/Reversions <i>*Provide Explanation on Last Page</i>	+OR-		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Line 7	Total Cash	=		(779,372.07)	228,053.25	(10,137.76)	(939,390.57)	(38,713.83)	9,131.11	20,708,648.66	0.00
Other Reconciling Items											
Line 8	Payroll Liabilities <i>**Provide Explanation on Last Page</i>	+		35,030.98	985.92	9,467.22	23,161.73	1,771.98	0.00	0.00	0.00
Line 9	Adjustments <i>***Provide Explanation on Last Page</i>	+OR-		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Line 10	Total Reconciled Cash Balance 03/31/2023	=		(744,341.09)	229,039.17	(670.54)	(916,228.84)	(36,941.85)	9,131.11	20,708,648.66	0.00
Line 11	Total Outstanding Loans <i>****Provide Explanation on Last Page</i>	+OR-		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Line 12	Total Ending Cash 03/31/2023	=		(744,341.09)	229,039.17	(670.54)	(916,228.84)	(36,941.85)	9,131.11	20,708,648.66	0.00

School Name: LOS ALAMOS
 PED No.: 041-000
 Previous Year End: 06/30/2022

PED Cash Report for 2022-2023 Fiscal Year

Month/Quarter: M9/Q3
 Report end date: 03/31/2023
 Naming Convention: LOS ALAMOS PUBLIC SCHOOLS_FY23_CASH REPORT_041

Line	Description	Change	PUBLIC SCHOOL CAPITAL OUTLAY 31200	SPECIAL CAPITAL OUTLAY			CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS			
				LOCAL 31300	STATE 31400	FEDERAL 31500	HB 33 31600	SB9 - STATE 31700	SB9 - LOCAL 31701	SB9 - STATE MATCH 31703
Line 1	Total Cash Balance 06/30/2022	+OR-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	6,166,813.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Line 2	Current Year Revenue to Date <i>(Per OBMS Actuals Revenue Report)</i>	+	100,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2,058,024.72	0.00	0.00	0.00
Line 3	Prior Year Warrants Voided	+	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Line 4	Total Resources to Date for Current Year 03/31/2023	=	100,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	8,224,837.72	0.00	0.00	0.00
Line 5	Current Year Expenditures to Date <i>(Per OMBS Actuals Expenditure Report)</i>	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	(2,281,061.97)	0.00	0.00	0.00
Line 6	Permanent Cash Transfers/Reversions <i>*Provide Explanation on Last Page</i>	+OR-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Line 7	Total Cash	=	100,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5,943,775.75	0.00	0.00	0.00
Other Reconciling Items										
Line 8	Payroll Liabilities <i>**Provide Explanation on Last Page</i>	+	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Line 9	Adjustments <i>***Provide Explanation on Last Page</i>	+OR-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Line 10	Total Reconciled Cash Balance 03/31/2023	=	100,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5,943,775.75	0.00	0.00	0.00
Line 11	Total Outstanding Loans <i>****Provide Explanation on Last Page</i>	+OR-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Line 12	Total Ending Cash 03/31/2023	=	100,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5,943,775.75	0.00	0.00	0.00

Line	Description	Change	ENERGY EFFICIENCY 31800	ED. TECH EQUIP ACT 31900	PSCOC 20% 32100	DEBT SERVICE				GRAND TOTAL ALL	
						GO BOND 41000	TEACHERAGE BOND 41200	ENERGY EFFICIENCY BOND 41800	DEFERRED SICK LEAVE 42000		ED TECH BOND 43000
Line 1	Total Cash Balance 06/30/2022	+OR-	0.00	0.00	0.00	11,516,909.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	33,702,305.00
Line 2	Current Year Revenue to Date <i>(Per OBMS Actuals Revenue Report)</i>	+	0.00	0.00	0.00	6,074,338.18	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	57,977,660.00
Line 3	Prior Year Warrants Voided	+	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Line 4	Total Resources to Date for Current Year 03/31/2023	=	0.00	0.00	0.00	17,591,247.18	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	91,679,965.00
Line 5	Current Year Expenditures to Date <i>(Per OMBS Actuals Expenditure Report)</i>	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	(9,604,411.26)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	(50,864,285.56)
Line 6	Permanent Cash Transfers/Reversions <i>*Provide Explanation on Last Page</i>	+OR-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	80,000.00
Line 7	Total Cash	=	0.00	0.00	0.00	7,986,835.92	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	40,895,679.44
Other Reconciling Items											
Line 8	Payroll Liabilities <i>**Provide Explanation on Last Page</i>	+	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1,176,142.38
Line 9	Adjustments <i>***Provide Explanation on Last Page</i>	+OR-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Line 10	Total Reconciled Cash Balance 03/31/2023	=	0.00	0.00	0.00	7,986,835.92	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	42,071,821.82
Line 11	Total Outstanding Loans <i>****Provide Explanation on Last Page</i>	+OR-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Line 12	Total Ending Cash 03/31/2023	=	0.00	0.00	0.00	7,986,835.92	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	42,071,821.82

From: Ryan Parks
 To: Liu, Sunny
 Cc: Carswell, Cally; Sallee, Charles; Warmint, Gwen; Martica Casias
 Subject: RE: Questions about Los Alamos
 Date: Friday, May 19, 2023 3:49:49 PM
 Attachments: Jaynes Corp_LAPS_ChamisaPinon_Variance_05.18.23.pdf
 image004.png

Hi again, here is a complete response to the questions and requests for information. Martica is planning to call Gwen shortly.

- Can you provide the responses from potential contractors to the RFP for the CMAR contract as well as the full version of the current contract with amendments?
 - Pinon & Chamisa Combined – CMAR Proposals are a 3 part process.
 - Step 1: Technical Proposals were received from Jaynes Corporation, Bradbury Stamm, Enterprise Builders, Franken Construction and HB Construction. From this list the District evaluation committee choose 3 to move on to Step 2 Proposals
 - Step 2: CMAR Price proposals were received from Bradbury Stamm, HB Construction and Jaynes Corporation.
 - RFP 22-B-02 CMAR Services for Replacement of Chamisa and Pinon Elementary Schools

Name	CMAR % Fee	Fee in Dollars	Specified General Conditions	Total Proposed Cost	Rank
Bradbury Stamm	3.5%	\$1,226,809.50	\$2,048,095.00	\$3,274,904.50	#2
HB Construction	4.00%	\$1,402,068.00	\$2,025,539.00	\$3,417,607.00	#3
Jaynes Corporation	3.25%	\$1,090,021.00	\$1,512,870.00	\$2,602,882.00	#1

Jaynes Corporation had the lowest most advantageous fees at this point. All three were invited to Step #3 Interviews.

- Step #3 Interview & Master Scoring:

EVALUATION COMMITTEE
MASTER SCORE SHEET

RFP 22-B-02 CMAR SERVICES		EVALUATORS 1-5										TOTAL RANK POINTS		TOTAL RANK POINTS		RANK	
PROPOSAL SCORES		1	RANK	2	RANK	3	RANK	4	RANK	5	RANK	TOTAL POINTS	TOTAL RANK POINTS	RANK			
BRADBURY STAMM	49.0	2	47.0	2	47.0	1	45.0	2	49.0	2	237.0	9	2				
EB ENTERPRISE BUILDERS	40.0	4	44.0	4	38.0	5	35.0	4	33.0	5	190.0	22	4				
FRANKEN CONSTRUCTION	35.0	5	43.0	5	42.0	4	35.0	4	37.0	4	192.0	22	4				
HB CONSTRUCTION	48.0	3	46.0	3	43.0	3	40.0	3	38.0	3	215.0	15	3				
JAYNES CORPORATION	50.0	1	50.0	1	46.0	2	46.0	1	50.0	1	242.0	6	1				
Short List																	
INTERVIEW SCORES																	
BRADBURY STAMM	34.00		32.80		33.50		34.50		34.50		169.30						
HB CONSTRUCTION	30.00		31.00		30.00		33.50		30.00		154.50						
JAYNES CORPORATION	35.00		32.90		34.00		34.50		35.00		171.40						
											0.00						
FINAL SCORES																	
	PROPOSAL Total Points	INTERVIEW Total Points	Grand Total Points	FINAL RANK													
Jaynes	242.0	171.40	413.40	1													
Bradbury	237.0	169.30	406.30	2													
HB Construction	215.0	154.50	369.50	3													

The Evaluation Committee agreed that the highest ranked offeror is Jaynes Corp.
 The Evaluation Committee recommends the Los Alamos School Board award to Jaynes Corp.

- At what stage of the design was the GMP finalized with Jaynes?
 - Presently both GMP's have been provided to the owners at 100% Construction Document submission.
 - Pinon GMP Amendments #1 for Pre-construction and Amendment #2 for Early Work Package #1 have been finalized and are located on the [link provided here](#). The Final GMP Amendment #3 is pending PSCOC approval before executing with Jaynes Corp.
 - Chamisa GMP Amendments #1 for Pre-construction has been finalized and is located on the [link provided here](#). The Final GMP Amendment #3 is pending PSCOC approval before executing with Jaynes Corp.
- What is the source of the cost difference between the Pinon and Chamisa psf costs?
 - Chamisa construction documents and resulting sub-contractor bids came 3 months after Pinon which resulted in higher material costs and lack of labor pool.
 - Chamisa SF was less than Pinon but with the same overall construction duration so the result was a higher cost per SF.
 - See items #1,3, 5, 9, 22, 23, 26, 32 & 33 on the attached variance spreadsheet attached for explanations.
- What drove the increase in cost for Pinon since the PSCOC award last fall, when the project was at 75 percent design?
 - The 100% design was not completed and construction costs for materials and the labor shortage went up. Escalations have been occurring monthly.
- What drove the increases for both projects at various stages of design?
 - Same as above
- What is included in the "soft costs" provided in PSFA materials on the total project cost for each school? Why is this not included in the psf cost provided on the cover sheet for each project?
 - PSFA allows for 30% of the final MACC at construction bidding for all soft costs incurred by the district:
 - Design Phase at 10%
 - GRT at 7.1875%
 - Site Master Civil Drainage Plan and additional Civil Engineering, Site Topographic Surveys, Subsurface Utility survey, environmental assessment, Subsurface Geotech Soils Conditions, Concrete and structural steel testing, HVAC test & Balance, PAC Design & Construction Consultant, Roofing Design Review & Construction Observation, Hazardous Material Testing to include Asbestos for Demolition purposes, water testing for Fire Design flow and post construction local code compliance, FF&E account for the remaining 13% of the soft costs. Construction is dependent upon these costs to determine design, etc. Soft costs are not generally contained in construction cost per SF calculations but can be reported in the "Total Project Cost" per SF.
- What is staff's reasoning for recommending the local match waivers, despite the total now being proposed for both projects being less than half what the district committed for one project when the Pinon funds were awarded last fall?
 - The contract was initially prepared to provide their 80% split based on the original estimated cost of \$17,500,000 for each school when the application was submitted. That correlates to about \$14,000,000 for each project.

Ryan Parks, AIA | Deputy Director
 New Mexico Public School Facilities Authority
 1312 Basehart Drive SE

Albuquerque, New Mexico 87106

Office: 505-843-6272

Cell: 505-227-1866

Email: rparks@nmpsfa.org

Web: www.nmpsfa.org



From: Ryan Parks

Sent: Friday, May 19, 2023 3:13 PM

To: 'Liu, Sunny' <Sunny.Liu@nmlegis.gov>; Martica Casias <mcasias@nmpsfa.org>

Cc: Carswell, Cally <Cally.Carswell@nmlegis.gov>; Sallee, Charles <Charles.Sallee@nmlegis.gov>; Warniment, Gwen <gwen.warniment@nmlegis.gov>

Subject: RE: Questions about Los Alamos

Hi Sunny, It was great to see everyone! Thank you all for the questions. We are working through these requests and questions and should be able to send everything by the end of today. In the meantime Martica wanted me to send the documents that you requested which you can [download here](#).

I have also attached a side by side comparison of the cost by division that illustrates where the increases reside. I mentioned a few at the subcommittee meeting but this does a better job of explaining and should address your third bullet point below.

I will send additional information shortly.

Ryan Parks, AIA | Deputy Director

New Mexico Public School Facilities Authority

1312 Basehart Drive SE

Albuquerque, New Mexico 87106

Office: 505-843-6272

Cell: 505-227-1866

Email: rparks@nmpsfa.org

Web: www.nmpsfa.org



From: Liu, Sunny <Sunny.Liu@nmlegis.gov>

Sent: Thursday, May 18, 2023 5:13 PM

To: Martica Casias <mcasias@nmpsfa.org>; Ryan Parks <rparks@nmpsfa.org>

Cc: Carswell, Cally <Cally.Carswell@nmlegis.gov>; Sallee, Charles <Charles.Sallee@nmlegis.gov>; Warniment, Gwen <gwen.warniment@nmlegis.gov>

Subject: Questions about Los Alamos



Hi Martica and Ryan,

It was good to see you at Awards yesterday! We're looking into the Los Alamos project a bit more and had a few questions about the initial estimate vs. what was presented at Awards. Could we get some answers to the following questions before the Council meeting next week?

- Can you provide the responses from potential contractors to the RFP for the CMAR contract as well as the full version of the current contract with amendments?
- At what stage of the design was the GMP finalized with Jaynes?
- What is the source of the cost difference between the Pinon and Chamisa psf costs?
- What drove the increase in cost for Pinon since the PSCOC award last fall, when the project was at 75 percent design?
- What drove the increases for both projects at various stages of design?
- What is included in the "soft costs" provided in PSFA materials on the total project cost for each school? Why is this not included in the psf cost provided on the cover sheet for each project?
- What is staff's reasoning for recommending the local match waivers, despite the total now being proposed for both projects being less than half what the district committed for one project when the Pinon funds were awarded last fall?

If it would be easier to set up a call about this or get the district involved in the conversation, let me know.

Thanks!

Sunny Z. Liu

Senior Fiscal Analyst

New Mexico Legislative Finance Committee

325 Don Gaspar

Santa Fe, NM 87501

Telephone: 505.986.4550

Direct Line: 505.986.4572

sunny.liu@nmlegis.gov





DOCUMENT TITLE: The purpose of this document is to identify the cost differences between Chamisa Elementary School and Pinon Elementary School.

DATE: 5/18/2023
Prepared By: Jaynes Corporation

Pinon SF Chamisa SF
62,006 sf 53,225 sf

Chamisa Elementary School (100% CDs GMP R1)

ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	COST/SF
0	PRE-CONSTRUCTION SERVICES	\$ -
1	GENERAL REQUIREMENTS	\$ 33.97
2	EXISTING CONDITIONS	\$ 5.84
3	CONCRETE	\$ 38.15
4	MASONRY	\$ 9.40
5	STRUCTURAL STEEL	\$ 45.50
6	ROUGH CARPENTRY	\$ 15.01
7	THERMAL & MOISTURE PROTECTION	\$ 36.92
8	OPENINGS	\$ 23.08
9	FINISHES	\$ 63.73
10	SPECIALTIES	\$ 4.32
11	EQUIPMENT	\$ 5.07
12	FURNISHINGS	\$ 1.12
13	SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION	\$ 4.64
14	CONVEYING EQUIPMENT - NONE	\$ -
21	FIRE SUPPRESSION	\$ 5.05
22, 23	MECHANICAL	\$ 92.44
26	ELECTRICAL	\$ 49.44
27	COMMUNICATIONS & DATA	\$ 6.74
28	SAFETY AND SECURITY	\$ 7.89
31	EARTHWORK	\$ 32.00
32	EXTERIOR IMPROVEMENTS	\$ 96.36
33	SITE UTILITIES	\$ 28.21
	SUBTOTAL	\$ 624.88
	Contingency 8%	\$ 49.99
	SUBTOTAL	\$ 674.87
	Fee 3.25%	\$ 21.93
	SUBTOTAL	\$ 696.81
	Pre-Construction Services Fee	\$ 2.14
	SUBTOTAL	\$ 698.95
	Specified G.C.'s	\$ 14.19
	SUBTOTAL	\$ 713.14
	TAX 0.000%	\$ -
	TOTAL	\$ 713.14

Pinon's Cost
COST/SF

Chamisa V Pinon	NOTES
Variance	

\$ 30.17	\$ 3.80	The schedule and management duration is not reduced relative to building size.
\$ 13.29	\$ (7.45)	Received a very Low demolition bid. (Risk)
\$ 31.10	\$ 7.05	Labor Shortage Risk drove pricing increase. Two competitive bids were 38% higher.
\$ 2.26	\$ 7.14	Pinon only has Trash Enclosure & Segmented Wall. Chamisa has new & Salvage Brick and Stone Cladding.
\$ 39.83	\$ 5.67	Steel prices rose after Pinon bids received. No Steel Erection bids received for Chamisa. Plugged a safe number.
\$ 15.92	\$ (0.91)	
\$ 32.05	\$ 4.87	Found an overlap in rigid insulation causing the increase.
\$ 25.20	\$ (2.12)	
\$ 72.50	\$ 11.23	All price differences are in framing & drywall. Chamisa bids received were close in price. Pinon bids varied.
\$ 3.87	\$ 0.45	
\$ 7.23	\$ (2.16)	
\$ 1.87	\$ (0.75)	
\$ 2.80	\$ 1.84	
\$ 1.84	\$ (1.84)	
\$ 4.60	\$ 0.46	
\$ 66.21	\$ 6.23	HVAC costs increased from Pinon bid to Chamisa bid.
\$ 40.32	\$ 9.12	Gymnasium at Pinon has less electrical components effecting overall cost per square foot.
\$ 7.36	\$ (0.63)	
\$ 7.10	\$ 0.79	
\$ 31.55	\$ 0.45	
\$ 90.16	\$ 6.20	Site improvement scope didn't decrease relative to building size. Costs for Playground and Landscaping matched Pinon.
\$ 18.17	\$ 10.04	Utility scope includes re-routing sewer line in the street.
\$ 565.39	\$ 59.49	
\$ 644.53	\$ 68.62	

STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION

(thousands of dollars)

School District

LOS ALAMOS Public Schools

= Cells are calculated to obtain a carry forward projected cash balance (Sources less Uses)

	TY2022	Growth Rate	TY23	TY24	TY25	TY26	TY27
Current & Projected Assessed Valuation:	\$929,055,020	1.50%	\$942,990,845	\$957,135,708	\$971,492,744	\$986,065,135	\$1,000,856,112
Bonding Capacity (6% of AV):	\$55,743,301	6%	\$56,579,451	\$57,428,142	\$58,289,565	\$59,163,908	\$60,051,367
Outstanding Debt as of 6/30 of each FY Including Future Sales (GOBs & ETNs):	\$33,450,000		\$40,125,000	\$33,450,000	\$41,830,000	\$34,995,000	\$43,360,000
Available Bonding Capacity (\$):	\$22,293,301		\$16,454,451	\$23,978,142	\$16,459,565	\$24,168,908	\$16,691,367
% Bonded to Capacity:	60.0%		70.9%	58.2%	71.8%	59.1%	72.2%
GO Bond Authorization + Ed Tech Notes:							
Next Bond Election Date :			Date				
			11/07/23				

SOURCES:	FY21 Actuals	FY22 Actuals	FY23 Budget <small>Approved on 7/1/22</small>	Current YR	YEAR 1	YEAR 2	YEAR 3	YEAR 4
				FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
Projected/Actual Beginning Cash Balance	\$ 4,954,251	\$ 3,975,406		\$ 3,775,215	\$ 2,449,515.0	\$ 2,449,515.0	\$ 2,449,515.0	\$ 2,449,515.0
Operational Revenue:								
41XXX Revenue from Local Sources	\$ 361,845	\$ 1,058,768		\$ 190,932				
43XXX Revenue from State Sources	\$ 33,559,722	\$ 32,098,679		\$ 36,870,904				
44XXX Revenue from Federal Sources	\$ 9,056,876	\$ 8,965,208		\$ 8,195,902				
45XXX Other Financing Sources			Left Blank Intentionally					
46XXX Other sources of revenue				\$ 11,393				
Other:								
Total:	\$ 47,932,694	\$ 46,098,061		\$ 49,044,346	\$ 2,449,515.0	\$ 2,449,515.0	\$ 2,449,515.0	\$ 2,449,515.0

USES:								
Operational - 1000 Direct Instruction	\$ 26,010,621	\$ 26,216,483		\$ 27,586,019				
Operational 2100 Support Service - Students	\$ 4,358,698	\$ 4,272,673		\$ 4,950,301				
Operational 2200 Support Services - Instruction	\$ 1,495,280	\$ 1,514,456		\$ 1,946,446				
Operational 2300 - General Administration	\$ 823,196	\$ 526,867		\$ 943,291				
Operational 2400 - School Administration	\$ 2,155,549	\$ 2,370,291		\$ 2,324,654				
Operational 2500 -Central Services	\$ 1,753,612	\$ 1,747,768		\$ 2,096,291				
Operational 2600 -Capital Outlay	\$ 3,793,985	\$ 5,387,666		\$ 6,564,493				
Operational 2700 - Student Transportation	\$ 9,223	\$ 284,893		\$ 183,336				
Operational 2900 - Other Support Services		\$ -						
Operational 3100 - Food Services Operations		\$ -						
Operational 3300 - Community Services Operations		\$ 1,749						
Operational 4000 - Capital Outlay								
Project Funded Brief Description (add additional lines if necessary):			Left Blank Intentionally					
Total Projected Commitment Needs/Uses:	\$ 40,400,164	\$ 42,322,846		\$ 46,594,831	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION
(thousands of dollars)

School District		LOS ALAMOS Public Schools		<i>= Cells are calculated to obtain a carry forward projected cash balance (Sources less Uses)</i>				
		TY2022	Growth Rate	TY23	TY24	TY25	TY26	TY27
Current & Projected Assessed Valuation:			1%	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
Bonding Capacity (6% of AV):	\$0	6%		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Outstanding Debt as of 6/30 of each FY Including Future Sales (GOBs & ETNs):								
Available Bonding Capacity (\$):	\$0			\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
% Bonded to Capacity:	#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
GO Bond Authorization + Ed Tech Notes:								
Next Bond Election Date:		Date						

SOURCES:	FY21 Actuals	FY22 Actuals	FY23 Budget <small>Approved on 7/1/22</small>	Current YR	YEAR 1	YEAR 2	YEAR 3	YEAR 4
				FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
Projected/Actual Beginning Cash Balance	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
SB9 Revenue								
31700 - 43202 State Flowthrough Grants								
31700 - 43204 Prior Year Balance								
31701 - 41XXX Revenue from Local Sources								
31701 - 43XXX Revenue from State Sources								
Other:								
Total:	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

USES:	FY21 Actuals	FY22 Actuals	FY23 Budget <small>Approved on 7/1/22</small>	Current YR	YEAR 1	YEAR 2	YEAR 3	YEAR 4
SB9 Capital Improvements								
SB9 State Match- 31700-2900 Debt Service and Miscellaneous								
SB9 State Match - 31700-4000 Capital Outlay								
SB9 Local 31701 - 2900 Debt Service and Miscellaneous								
SB9 Local 31701 - 4000 Capital Outlay								
Project Funded Brief Description (add additional lines if necessary): This area is for future projects. Please list budget totals above. These figure will not be included in totals.								
Total Projected Commitment Needs/Uses:	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION
(thousands of dollars)

School District

LOS ALAMOS Public Schools

= Cells are calculated to obtain a carry forward projected cash balance (Sources less Uses)

Current & Projected Assessed Valuation:	TY2022	Growth Rate	TY23	TY24	TY25	TY26	TY27
	\$0	1%	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
Bonding Capacity (6% of AV):	\$0	6%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Outstanding Debt as of 6/30 of each FY Including Future Sales (GOBs & ETNs):							
Available Bonding Capacity (\$):	\$0		\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
% Bonded to Capacity:	#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
GO Bond Authorization + Ed Tech Notes:							
Next Bond Election Date :	Date						

SOURCES:	FY21 Actuals	FY22 Actuals	FY23 Budget <small>Approved on 7/1/22</small>	Current YR	YEAR 1	YEAR 2	YEAR 3	YEAR 4
				FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
Projected/Actual Beginning Cash Balance	\$ 7,317,976	\$ 6,847,025		\$ 6,005,516.0	\$ 6,005,516.0	\$ 6,005,516.0	\$ 6,005,516.0	\$ 6,005,516.0
HB33 Revenue								
31600 - 41XXX Revenue from Local Sources	\$ 2,587,085	\$ 2,692,206						
31600 - 43XXX Revenue from State Sources	\$ 345,957							
31600 - 45XXX Revenue from Other Sources								
Other:								
Total:	\$ 9,905,061	\$ 9,885,188		\$ 6,005,516.0	\$ 6,005,516.0	\$ 6,005,516.0	\$ 6,005,516.0	\$ 6,005,516.0

USES:	FY21 Actuals	FY22 Actuals	FY23 Budget <small>Approved on 7/1/22</small>	Current YR	YEAR 1	YEAR 2	YEAR 3	YEAR 4
				FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
HB33 Capital Improvements								
HB33 31600 2300 Purchased Professional and Technical Services	\$ 25,492	\$ 25,875						
HB33 - 31600-2900 Debt Service and Miscellaneous								
HB33 - 31600-4000 Capital Outlay	\$ 3,032,544	\$ 3,853,797						
Project Funded Brief Description (add additional lines if necessary): This area is for future projects. Please list budget totals above. These figure will not be included in totals.								
Total Projected Commitment Needs/Uses:	\$ 3,058,036	\$ 3,879,672		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

CERTIFICATION OF STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION

School District

LOS ALAMOS Public Schools

TO BE COMPLETED BY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Statement of Financial Position prepared for completeness and accuracy by:

(Signed) _____

(Print Name) _____ Date _____

(Title) _____

TO BE COMPLETED BY SCHOOL DISTRICT BOND ADVISOR

Statement of Financial Position reviewed for completeness and accuracy by:

(Signed) _____

(Print Name) _____ Date _____

(Title) _____

(Company) _____

TO BE COMPLETED BY PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITIES AUTHORITY (PSFA)

Statement of Financial Position reviewed for completeness and accuracy by:

(Signed) _____

(Print Name) _____ Date _____

(Title) _____

It is intended that the review of the Statement of Financial Position be completed within 10 calendar days of receipt.

STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION

(thousands of dollars)

School District									= Cells are calculated to obtain a carry forward projected cash balance (Sources less Uses)						
LOS ALAMOS Public Schools															
		TY2022	Growth Rate	TY23	TY24	TY25	TY26	TY27							
Current & Projected Assessed Valuation:		\$929,055,020	1.50%	\$942,990,845	\$957,135,708	\$971,492,744	\$986,065,135	\$1,000,856,112							
Bonding Capacity (6% of AV):		\$55,743,301	6%	\$56,579,451	\$57,428,142	\$58,289,565	\$59,163,908	\$60,051,367							
Outstanding Debt as of 6/30 of each FY Including Future Sales (GOBs & ETNs):		\$33,450,000		\$40,125,000.0	\$33,450,000.0	\$41,830,000.0	\$4,995,000.0	\$43,360,000.0							
Available Bonding Capacity (\$):		\$22,293,301		\$16,454,451	\$23,978,142	\$16,459,565	\$24,168,908	\$16,691,367							
% Bonded to Capacity:		60.0%		70.9%	58.2%	71.8%	59.1%	72.2%							
GO Bond Authorization + Ed Tech Notes:															
Next Bond Election Date and Amount:		Date	Amount												
		11/07/23	\$ 30,000,000												
SOURCES:		FY21 Actuals	FY22 Actuals	FY23 Budget	Current YR	YEAR 1	YEAR 2	YEAR 3	YEAR 4						
				Approved on 7/1/22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27						
Projected/Actual Beginning Cash Balance		\$ 2,504,134	\$ 714,930		\$ 10,129,699.0	\$ 13,981,369.0	\$ 13,981,369.0	\$ 13,981,369.0	\$ 13,981,369.0						
Bond Revenue															
31100 - 41XXX - Revenue from Local Sources		\$ 25,608			\$ 64,440										
31100 - 43XXX Revenue from State Sources		\$ 1,000,039													
31100 - 45XXX Revenue from Other Sources			\$ 10,457,923		\$ 10,112,500										
31200 - 43209 Revenue from PSCOC					\$ 100,000										
Other:															
Total:		\$ 3,529,781	\$ 11,172,853		\$ 20,406,639	\$ 13,981,369.0	\$ 13,981,369.0	\$ 13,981,369.0	\$ 13,981,369.0						
USES:															
Bonds Capital Improvements															
Bonds - 31100-2900 Debt Service and Miscellaneous															
Bonds - 31100-4000 Capital Outlay		\$ 2,814,851	\$ 1,043,154		\$ 6,425,270										
Project Funded Brief Description (add additional lines if necessary): This area is for future projects. Please list budget totals above. These figure will not be included in totals.															
Total Projected Commitment Needs/Uses:		\$ 2,814,851	\$ 1,043,154		\$ 6,425,270	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -						

STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION
(thousands of dollars)

School District	LOS ALAMOS Public Schools		= Cells are calculated to obtain a carry forward projected cash balance (Sources less Uses)				
	TY2022	Growth Rate	TY23	TY24	TY25	TY26	TY27
Current & Projected Assessed Valuation:	\$929,055,020	2%	\$942,990,845	\$957,135,708	\$971,492,744	\$986,065,135	\$1,000,856,112
Bonding Capacity (6% of AV):	\$55,743,301	6%	\$56,579,451	\$57,428,142	\$58,289,565	\$59,163,908	\$60,051,367
Outstanding Debt as of 6/30 of each FY Including Future Sales (GOBs & ETNs):	\$33,450,000		\$40,125,000.0	\$3,450,000.0	\$1,830,000.0	\$4,995,000.0	\$3,360,000.0
Available Bonding Capacity (\$):	\$22,293,301		\$16,454,451	\$23,978,142	\$16,459,565	\$24,168,908	\$16,691,367
% Bonded to Capacity:	60.0%		70.9%	58.2%	71.8%	59.1%	72.2%
GO Bond Authorization + Ed Tech Notes:			0	0	0	0	0
Next Bond Election Date and Amount:	Date	Amount					

SOURCES:	FY21 Actuals	FY22 Actuals	FY23 Budget Approved on 7/1/22	Current YR	YEAR 1	YEAR 2	YEAR 3	YEAR 4
				FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
Projected/Actual Beginning Cash Balance	\$ 14,776,361	\$ 15,094,485		\$ 23,467,554	\$ 25,993,524.0	\$ 25,993,524.0	\$ 25,993,524.0	\$ 25,993,524.0
Totals of Operational, SB9, HB33 and Bonds	\$ 46,591,175.00	\$ 55,618,741.00		\$ 55,546,071.0	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Other:								
Total:	\$ 61,367,536.0	\$ 70,713,226.0		\$ 79,013,625.0	\$ 25,993,524.0	\$ 25,993,524.0	\$ 25,993,524.0	\$ 25,993,524.0

USES:	FY21 Actuals	FY22 Actuals	FY23 Budget Approved on 7/1/22	Current YR	YEAR 1	YEAR 2	YEAR 3	YEAR 4
				FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
Total of Operational, SB9, HB33 and Bonds	\$ 46,273,051.00	\$ 47,245,672.00		\$ 53,020,101.0	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Project Funded Brief Description (add additional lines if necessary): This area is for future projects. Please list budget totals above. These figure will not be included in totals.								
Total Projected Commitment Needs/Uses:	\$ 46,273,051.0	\$ 47,245,672.0		\$ 53,020,101.0	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

CERTIFICATION OF STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION

School District LOS ALAMOS Public Schools

TO BE COMPLETED BY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Statement of Financial Position prepared for completeness and accuracy by:

(Signed) _____ Date _____

(Print Name) _____

(Title) _____

TO BE COMPLETED BY SCHOOL DISTRICT BOND ADVISOR

Statement of Financial Position reviewed for completeness and accuracy by:

(Signed) _____ Date _____

(Print Name) _____

(Title) _____

(Company) _____

TO BE COMPLETED BY PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITIES AUTHORITY (PSFA)

Statement of Financial Position reviewed for completeness and accuracy by:

(Signed) _____ Date _____

(Print Name) _____

(Title) _____

It is intended that the review of the Statement of Financial Position be completed within 10 calendar days of receipt.

STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION

DEFINITIONS

- Denotes the cells to be completed by the school district of actual data from 3 previous years.
- Denotes the cells to be completed by the School District or Charter School Financial Advisor
- Denotes the cells to be completed by the School District or Charter School
- Denotes calculated cells

SOURCES:

Verified By:

Next Bond Election Date and Amount	Enter the next bond election date and anticipated bond election amount. For example: February 2018; \$2.0 million	PED School Budget And Financial Analysis Bureau
Approved Projected Cash Balance (Fund 11000)	Includes Fund 11000 - Operational amount of projected cash balance submitted to and approved by PED for the current budget year. If the current year audit is complete, please insert the audited cash amount. Outyear amounts are a calculation of revenue less expenditures in the worksheet. Include increase bars to CASH in quarter submitted to PED.	PED School Budget And Financial Analysis Bureau
Approved Projected Cash Balance (Funds 31100, 31300 31500 31600)	Includes Funds 31100 - Bond Building; 31300 Special Capital Outlay - Local; 31500 - Special Capital Outlay - Federal and 31600 - Capital Improvements HB33 sum of projected cash balance submitted to and approved by PED for the current year budget. If the current year audit is complete, please insert the audited cash amount. Outyear amounts are a calculation of revenue less expenditures in the worksheet. Include increase bars to CASH in quarter submitted to PED.	PED School Budget And Financial Analysis Bureau
Approved Projected Cash Balance (Funds 31400, 31700)	Includes Funds 31400 - Capital Outlay; 31700 - Capital Improvements SB9 sum of projected cash balance submitted to and approved by PED for the current year budget. If the current year audit is complete, please insert the audited cash amount. Outyear amounts are a calculation of revenue less expenditures in the worksheet. Include increase bars to CASH in quarter submitted to PED.	PED Capital Outlay Bureau
Audit Adjustments to Cash Balances	Includes Funds 31100 - Bond Building; 31300 Special Capital Outlay - Local; 31500 - Special Capital Outlay - Federal; 31600 - Capital Improvements HB33; 31400 - Capital Outlay; 31700 - Capital Improvements SB9. Amount entered in this cell is the amount of cash adjustment per the final previous year audit report to ensure cash balances in quarter 1 equal the audited cash.	PED School Budget And Financial Analysis Bureau
GO Bond Sale Projected Proceeds (Fund 31100 45110):	Includes the revenue projected budgeted for the current fiscal year in Fund 31100 Object Code 45110. Please include any BAR adjustments to revenue.	PED School Budget And Financial Analysis Bureau
SB-9 Capital Improvements (State Match) (Fund 31700 43202)	Includes the revenue projected budget for the current fiscal year in Fund 31700 Object Code 43202. Please include any BAR adjustments to revenue.	PED School Budget And Financial Analysis Bureau
SB-9 Capital Improvements (State Match) (Fund 31700 43204)	Includes the revenue projected budget for the current fiscal year in Fund 31700 Object Code 43204. Please include any BAR adjustments to revenue.	PED School Budget And Financial Analysis Bureau
SB-9 (2 mill levy) (Ad Valorem) Projected Proceeds (Fund 31701 41110):	Includes the revenue projected budget for the current fiscal year in Fund 31701 Object Code 41110. Please include any BAR adjustments to revenue.	PED Capital Outlay Bureau
SB-9 (2 mill levy) (Oil & Gas) Projected Proceeds (Fund 31701 41113):	Includes the revenue projected budget for the current fiscal year in Fund 31701 Object Code 41113. Please include any BAR adjustments to revenue.	PED Capital Outlay Bureau
SB-9 (2 mill levy) (Copper) Projected Proceeds (Fund 31701 41114):	Includes the revenue projected budget for the current fiscal year in Fund 31701 Object Code 41114. Please include any BAR adjustments to revenue.	PED Capital Outlay Bureau
SB-9 (2 mill levy) (state distribution) Projected Proceeds (Fund 31701 41500):	Includes the revenue projected budget for the current fiscal year in Fund 31701 Object Code 41500. Please include any BAR adjustments to revenue.	PED Capital Outlay Bureau
SB-9 (state distribution) Prior Year Projected Proceeds (Fund 31701 41953):	Includes the prior year revenue projected budget for the current fiscal year in Fund 31701 Object Code 41953. Please include any BAR adjustments to revenue. If the district/charter school does not have the information, please obtain from PED Capital Outlay Bureau.	PED Capital Outlay Bureau
SB-9 (state distribution) Prior Year Projected Proceeds (Fund 31701 41980):	Includes the prior year revenue projected budget for the current fiscal year in Fund 31701 Object Code 41980. Please include any BAR adjustments to revenue. If the district/charter school does not have the information, please obtain from PED Capital Outlay Bureau.	
HB-33 Proceeds (Capital Improvements) (Ad Valorem) (Fund 31600 41110):	Includes the revenue projected budget for the current fiscal year in Fund 31600 Object Code 41110. Please include any BAR adjustments to revenue.	PED Capital Outlay Bureau
HB-33 Proceeds (Capital Improvements) (Oil & Gas) (Fund 31600 41113):	Includes the revenue projected budget for the current fiscal year in Fund 31600 Object Code 41113. Please include any BAR adjustments to revenue.	PED Capital Outlay Bureau
HB-33 Proceeds (Capital Improvements) (Copper) (Fund 31600 41114):	Includes the revenue projected budget for the current fiscal year in Fund 31600 Object Code 41114. Please include any BAR adjustments to revenue.	PED Capital Outlay Bureau
Impact Aid PL-874 (31500 44306):	Includes the revenue projected budget for the current fiscal year in Fund 31500 Object Code 44306. Please include any BAR adjustments to revenue.	PED School Budget And Financial Analysis Bureau
Direct Legislative Appropriations:	Include any legislative appropriations received by the School District or Charter School for a specific identified capital project.	PED Capital Outlay Bureau
PSCOC Advances or Waivers Awarded:	Include any awards made by the PSCOC to a School District or Charter School for advances (repaid to PSFA) or waivers (grants).	PSFA
Other:	Include any revenue sources from Fund 11000 Function 4000 which may include Impact Aid amounts; Fund 31300 un-restricted funds that are budgeted in object code 41920 and 41920 Special Building Local.	PED School Budget And Financial Analysis Bureau

USES:

Projected Fund Expenditures - Operational	Include expenditures related to the cash balance for Fund 11000	PSFA
PSCOC Advances Repayment:	Include the Advance repayment amount in the fiscal year it is due to PSFA.	PSFA
Project Funded Brief Description	Please list out the anticipated capital expenditures by project, description and fund in the year in which those expenditures are estimated to occur. The uses (needs) section of the form requires supporting documentation from the School District or Charter School that supports and justifies the listed expenditures.	PSFA - Prudent Use Definition

**Prudent Use of Public School Capital Resources Defined
(For use in the PSFA Guidelines and Advisories)**

In accordance with 22-24-5 (B) 11(b) and (d) NMAC 1978 no application for grant assistance from the fund shall be approved by the Public School Capital Outlay Council unless the council determines that:

1.) the current Facilities Master Plan (FMP) approved by the Board of Education for the District or the Charter School demonstrates that the available capital funds (from SB-9, HB-33 and District Bonds) have been used to:

- A. ensure the health and safety of all users
- B. maximize educational functions
- C. protect existing facilities
- D. mitigate additional damage
- E. create, renew, and replace educational facilities when necessary to adequately house educational functions based upon location, enrollment, and utilization

2.) the district has a preventive maintenance plan not older than 13 months that has been approved by PSFA pursuant to Section 22-24-5.3 NMSA 1978, that is followed by each public school in the district, that prudent capital planning is demonstrated in the current FMP, and that the district utilize the Facilities Maintenance Assessment Report (FMAR) in this effort to score 70.1% (Satisfactory) or better on all of their schools.

22-24-5 (B) 11(b) and (d) NMAC 1978 –

“the school district has used its capital resources in a prudent manner”

IV. Next PSCOC Meeting

(Proposed for July 5, 2023- *tentative*)

V. Adjourn